

Web Site News As Of May 05, 2008

Welcome to the Performance Measurements web site for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio & Wisconsin.

March 2008 results are now available for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio & Wisconsin.

Effective April 21:

Recalculated and Reposted Performance Measures:

Listed below are the measures for which previously reported results have been recalculated and reposted. AT&T makes available a listing of the recalculated and reposted performance measurement results that have also been restated (changed from the originally posted result) in the Reports section of this website. These reports are available for both CLEC-aggregate results and CLEC-specific results, and are accessed in the same manner as existing performance measurement reports.

- December 2007 results for performance measure 5 have been recalculated and reposted to reverse the April 7th restatement of these results. AT&T determined additional issues needed to be addressed to correctly eliminate the records that should not be included in reported results. A future restatement will be applied to properly address the original issue.

Please Note:

- Implementation of the 2006 Six-Month Review performance measurement changes took place with December 2007 performance results. Due to system limitations, the following two situations should be noted. First, certain results for Michigan performance under Version 2.5 PMs are appearing on the website under the Version 3.0 PM. For example, PM 58 results in Michigan are appearing under PM 29. This is a display only issue - the results have been generated under the Version 2.5 logic with appropriate remedy treatment. You may see this issue under PMs 6, 22, 29, 30, 35, 37.1, 38, 39, 40, 41, and 63. Programming has been initiated to correct this issue. Measures discontinued under Business Rules Version 3.0 continue to be reported in Michigan under Business Rules Version 2.5. Notes have been entered as required on all submeasures to reflect how measures are being reported to accommodate the limitations of our system. Second, the pre-December 2007 results for the Version 2.5 PMs (and submeasures) that were combined in Version 3.0 are listed under the respective Version 3.0 measures. For example, November 2007 results for PM 58 appear under PM 29 for all PM 58 submeasures reported in Version 3.0 PM 29. While the pre-December 2007 results continue to be reported per the Version 2.5 Rules, this approach enables the user to view consecutive months of data in one report query. Version 2.5 PMs that were combined in Version 3.0 are PM 6 (combined PMs 7.1, 10, MI 13, and 17.1), PM 22 (combines PMs 22, 22.1, and 25), PM 29 (combines PMs 29, 45, 56.1, 58, 73, 91, and 96), PM 30 (combines PMs 30, 47, and 60), PM 35 (combines PMs 35, 46, 59, 98), PM 37.1 (combines PMs 37.1, 54.1, 65.1), PM 38 (combines PMs 38 and 66), PM 39 (combines PMs 39, 52, 67, 76 and 119), PM 40 (combines PMs 40 and 68), PM 41 (combines PMs 41, 53, 69), and PM 63 (combines PM 63 and 75).
- As noted here with the April 20, 2006 posting, AT&T has discovered a problem with the Affiliate results for PM 65.1 8dB

Loop measurement for the states of Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin. The number of loops identified as "in-service" in calculating the Affiliate 8dB Trouble Report Rate has been found to be overstated. While the correct volume is being identified, the following steps have been taken: 1) March 2006 through current results are reported against the Retail compare only - no Affiliate result has been generated; and 2) Remedies for February 2006 through current have been calculated against Retail only, as Affiliate performance is expected to improve once the correct volume is determined and restatement is made. Once the correct in-service volume has been determined, February and March 2006 results (and any subsequent months affected) will be restated, with any additional remedies due CLECs, or overpayments made by AT&T, handled according to the terms of the applicable remedy plan.