

Web Site News As Of March 24, 2006

Welcome to the AT&T Midwest Performance Measurements web site.

February 2006 performance results for AT&T Midwest performance measurements are now available.

Please Note:

Due to a technical problem, all restated CLEC performance measure results did not properly display with the March 20th posting. This was strictly a display issue and has now been fixed. All Z-scores were correctly calculated, and all remedies were paid with the March 20th posting.

AT&T Midwest PM Audit Reports:

AT&T Midwest PM Audit Reports are now available. These can be accessed by clicking the button at the bottom of this News Page.

2005 Six-Month Review Performance Measures Change Implementation:

Implementation of the 2005 Six-Month Review performance measurement changes began with December 2005 performance results. These changes will be implemented through March 2006 results published April 20, 2006. The Rules V2.0d have been updated via redline and posted on the web site to reflect the changes agreed to in the Six-Month Review that have been implemented with December 2005 through February 2006 reporting.

Recalculated and Reposted Performance Measures:

Listed below are the measures for which previously reported results have been recalculated and reposted. AT&T Midwest makes available a listing of the recalculated and reposted performance measurement results that have also been restated (changed from the originally posted result) in the Reports section of this website. These reports are available for both CLEC-aggregate results and CLEC-specific results, and are accessed in the same manner as existing performance measurement reports.

- June through November 2005 results for performance measures 35 and 37.1 have been recalculated and reposted to add geographic disaggregations inadvertently removed due to a coding error when these measures were restated March 6th.
- August 2005 results for performance measure 113 in Indiana have been recalculated and reposted to remove erroneously provided data that significantly overstated results for a single CLEC.
- June and July 2005 results for performance measure 113 in Indiana have been recalculated and reposted to correct manual processing errors that resulted in a small number of orders being either excluded from results or assigned to the wrong CLEC.