

## **Web Site News As Of January 22, 2007**

Welcome to the Performance Measurements web site for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio & Wisconsin.

December 2006 results are now available for Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio & Wisconsin.  
Effective January 05:

### ***Recalculated and Reposted Performance Measures:***

Listed below are the measures for which previously reported results have been recalculated and reposted. AT&T makes available a listing of the recalculated and reposted performance measurement results that have also been restated (changed from the originally posted result) in the Reports section of this website. These reports are available for both CLEC-aggregate results and CLEC-specific results, and are accessed in the same manner as existing performance measurement reports.

- December 2005 results for performance measure 54.1 have been recalculated and reposted to add records inadvertently excluded due to a coding error when this measure was restated April 5, 2006.

### ***Please Note:***

- The AT&T Raw Data Web Site was posted on January 5, 2006 and can be accessed via the link provided at the very bottom of this page (labeled "Raw Data Request Process"). November data is available for PMs 1.1, 1.3, 4, 5, 7.1, 10, 12, 13, 13.1, 17, 21.1, 22, 22.1, 24.1, 25, 29-30, 35, 37.1, 38-41, 43-47, 49, 50, 52, 53, 54.1, 55, 55.2, 56, 56.1, 58-60, 62, 63, 65.1, 66-71, 73, 75, 76, 78, 91, 96-102, 104, 105, 107, 109, 110, 112-115.2, 117, 119-120, 124, 124.1, CLEC WI 1, CLEC WI 5, CLEC WI 6, CLEC WI 7, CLEC WI 8, CLEC WI 9, CLEC WI 11, C BLG 3, C BLG 4, C BLG 5, IN 1, MI 2, MI 11, MI 13, MI 15, WI 1, WI 2 and WI 9.
- As noted here with the April 20, 2006 posting, AT&T has discovered a problem with the Affiliate results for PM 65.1 8dB Loop measurement. The number of loops identified as "in-service" in calculating the Affiliate 8dB Trouble Report Rate has been found to be overstated. While the correct volume is being identified, the following steps have been taken: 1) March 2006 through May 2006 results are reported against the Retail compare only - no Affiliate result has been generated; and 2) Remedies for February 2006 through April 2006 have been calculated against Retail only, as Affiliate performance is expected to improve once the correct volume is determined and restatement is made. Once the correct in-service volume has been determined, February and March